

**Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure
Act of 2002**

**MONTGOMERY REGIONAL SOLID WASTE
AUTHORITY MRSWA GUIDELINES**

Adopted: December 8, 2011

I.	Introduction.....	p. 1
	a. Overview.....	p. 1
II.	General Provisions.....	p. 2
	a. Proposal Submission.....	p. 2
	b. Affected Jurisdictions.....	p. 3
	c. Proposal Review Fee.....	p. 4
	d. Freedom of Information Act.....	p. 4
	e. Use of Public Funds.....	p. 6
	f. Applicability of Other Laws.....	p. 7
III.	Solicited Proposals.....	p. 7
IV.	Unsolicited Proposals.....	p. 7
	a. Generally.....	p. 7
	b. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal; Notice.....	p. 8
	c. Posting and Public Hearing Requirements.....	p. 8
	d. Initial Review by the Responsible Public Entity at the Conceptual Stage	p. 9
V.	Proposal Preparation and Submission.....	p. 10
	a. Format for Submissions at Conceptual Stage.....	p. 10
	b. Format for Submissions at Detailed Stage.....	p. 14
VI.	Proposal Evaluation and Selection Criteria.....	p. 16
	a. Qualifications and Experience.....	p. 16
	b. Project Characteristics.....	p. 16

c.	Cost Analysis and Project Financing.....	p. 16
d.	Project Benefit and Compatibility.....	p. 17
e.	Other Factors.....	p. 17
VII.	Interim and Comprehensive Agreements.....	p. 18
a.	Interim Agreement Terms.....	p. 18
b.	Comprehensive Agreement Terms.....	p. 18
c.	Notice and Posting Requirements.....	p. 20
VIII.	Governing Provisions.....	p. 21
IX.	Terms and Definitions.....	p. 21

I. Introduction

a. Overview

The Public-Private Education Facilities and Infrastructure Act of 2002 (the “PPEA”) grants responsible public entities the authority to create public-private partnerships for the development of a wide range of projects for public use if the public entities determine there is a need for the project and that private involvement may provide the project to the public in a timely or cost-effective fashion. The PPEA defines “responsible public entity” (RPE) to include any public entity that “has the power to develop or operate the applicable qualifying project.” Individually negotiated interim or comprehensive agreements between a private entity and a RPE will define the respective rights and obligations of the RPE and the private entity. The definition of “public entity” in Va. Code § 56-575.1 includes, *inter alia*, any political subdivision of the Commonwealth.

In order for a project to qualify under the PPEA, it must meet the definition of a “qualifying project” which is set forth in the PPEA (Va. Code §56.575.1, et. seq. as amended) and is also set forth in Section VII of these procedures.

The PPEA establishes requirements that a RPE, including the Montgomery Regional Solid Waste Authority (the “MRSWA”), must adhere to when reviewing and approving proposals received pursuant to the PPEA. In addition, the PPEA specifies the criteria that must be used to select a proposal and the contents of the interim or comprehensive agreement detailing the relationship between the MRSWA and the private entity.

Section 56-575.16 of the PPEA provides that a public entity having the power to acquire, design, construct, improve, renovate, expand, equip, maintain, or operate a qualifying project (a “responsible public entity”) may not consider any proposal by a private entity for approval of the qualifying project pursuant to the PPEA until the responsible public entity has adopted and made publicly available procedures that are sufficient to enable the RPE to comply with the PPEA. Accordingly, these procedures (the “Procedures”) are hereby adopted by the MRSWA Board, as the governing body of the MRSWA, on December 8, 2011.

In the event that the PPEA is amended in a manner that either conflicts with these guidelines or concerns material matters not addressed by the Guidelines, the Board will amend the Guidelines. If the Guidelines are not amended prior to the effective date of the new law, the Guidelines will be interpreted in a manner to conform to the new law.

The Board hereby designates the Executive Director of the MRSWA or his designee as the contact person to receive PPEA proposals and to respond to inquiries regarding the PPEA or these guidelines. The guidelines are available to the public.

The Board may designate a working group to be responsible for evaluating proposals and negotiating the comprehensive agreement. Such working group shall constitute an oversight committee as contemplated by Va. Code §56.575.3:1 of the PPEA, but need not be formed for proposed projects of less than \$3 million.

In the event that the PPEA is amended in a manner that either conflicts with these guidelines or concerns material matters not addressed by the Guidelines, the Board will amend the Guidelines. If the Guidelines are not amended prior to the effective date of the new law, the Guidelines will be interpreted in a manner to conform to the new law.

II. General Provisions

a. Proposal Submission

- i. A proposal may be either solicited by the MRSWA or delivered by a private entity on an unsolicited basis. Proposers may be required to follow a two-part proposal submission process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. The initial phase of the proposal should contain specified information on proposer qualifications and experience, project characteristics, project financing, anticipated public support or opposition, or both, and project benefit and compatibility. The detailed proposal should contain specified deliverables.
- ii. The PPEA allows private entities to include innovative financing methods, including the imposition of user fees or service payments, in a proposal. Such financing arrangements may include the issuance of debt instruments, equity or other securities or obligations, including, if applicable, the portion of the tax-exempt private activity bond limitation amount to be allocated annually to the Commonwealth of Virginia pursuant to the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001 for the development of education facilities using public-private partnerships, and to provide for carryovers of any unused limitation amount. The PPEA is a flexible development tool that allows the use of innovative financing techniques. Depending on the MRSWA's authority and the circumstances of each transaction, financing options might include the use of special purpose entities, sale and lease back transactions, enhanced use leasing, property exchanges, development agreements, conduit financing and other methods allowed by law.
- iii. Proposals should be prepared simply and economically, providing a concise description of the proposer's capabilities to complete the proposed qualifying project and the benefits to be derived from the project by the MRSWA. Project benefits to be considered are those occurring during the construction, renovation, expansion or improvement phase and during the life cycle of the project. Proposals also should include a scope of work and a financial plan for the project, containing enough detail to allow an analysis by the MRSWA of the financial feasibility of the proposed project. The cost analysis of a proposal should not be linked solely to the financing plan, as the MRSWA may determine to finance the project through other available means.
- iv. The requirements for any particular Solicited Bid/Proposal shall be as specified in the solicitation by the MRSWA for that particular proposal and shall be consistent with all applicable provisions of the PPEA.

- v. Any Unsolicited Proposal shall be submitted to the MRSWA by delivering six complete copies, together with the required initial review fee as provided below in §2C, to the Executive Director of the Montgomery Regional Solid Waste Authority, 555 Authority Drive, Christiansburg, Virginia 24073. Other requirements for an Unsolicited Proposal are as set forth below in § IV. A working group may be designated by the Executive Director to review and evaluate all unsolicited proposals.
- vi. The MRSWA may require that any proposal be clarified. Such clarification may include but is not limited to submission of additional documentation, responses to specific questions, and interviews with potential project participants.
- vii. The PPEA is intended to encourage proposals from the private sector that offer the provision of private financing in support of the proposed public project and the assumption of commensurate risk by the private operator, but also offer benefits to the operator through innovative approaches to project financing, development and use. However, while substantial private sector involvement is encouraged, qualifying facilities will still be devoted primarily to public use and typically involve facilities critical to the public health, safety and welfare. Accordingly, the Board shall continue to exercise full and proper due diligence in the evaluation and selection of operators for these projects. In this regard, the qualifications, capabilities, and resources and other attributes of a prospective operator and its whole team will be carefully examined for every project. In addition, operators proposing projects shall be held strictly accountable for representations or other information provided regarding their qualifications, experience or other contents of their proposals, including all specific aspects of proposed plans to be performed by the operator.

b. Affected Jurisdictions

- i. The term “affected local jurisdiction” includes any county, city or town in which all or a portion of a qualifying project is located.
- ii. Any private entity submitting a Solicited Bid/Proposal or an Unsolicited Proposal to the MRSWA as the responsible public entity for a qualifying project must provide any other affected local jurisdiction with a copy of the proposal by certified mail, express delivery, or hand delivery within five (5) business days of submission of the proposal to the MRSWA. Any such other affected local jurisdiction that is not a RPE under the proposed qualifying project shall have 60 days from the date it receives its copy of the proposal to submit written comments to the MRSWA and to indicate whether the proposed qualifying project is compatible with the affected local jurisdiction’s local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, capital improvements budget, or other government spending plan. The MRSWA will consider comments received within the 60-day period prior to entering into a comprehensive agreement pursuant to the PPEA regarding the proposal. However, the MRSWA may begin or continue its evaluation of any such proposal during the 60-day period for the receipt of comments from affected local jurisdictions.

c. Proposal Review Fee

- i. The MRSWA shall receive an analysis of the proposal from appropriate internal staff or outside advisors or consultants (which may include an architect, professional engineer or certified public accountant) with relevant experience in determining whether to enter into an agreement with the private entity. Such analysis shall address the specifics, advantages, disadvantages and long and short term costs of the proposed project. The MRSWA may charge a fee to the private entity to cover the costs of processing, reviewing, and evaluating any unsolicited proposal or competing unsolicited proposal submitted under the PPEA, including a fee to cover the costs of outside attorneys, consultants, and financial advisors. Any fee charged for such review of a proposal should be reasonable in comparison to the level of expertise required to review the proposal and should not be greater than the direct costs associated with evaluating the proposed qualifying project. "Direct costs" may include (i) the cost of staff time required to process, evaluate, review and respond to the proposal and (ii) the out-of-pocket costs of attorneys, consultants, and financial advisors.
- ii. The MRSWA may require an initial processing fee with an additional proposal fee to be charged should the project proceed beyond the initial review.
- iii. The MRSWA may establish a fee schedule for the cost of the proposal review. The fee schedule shall set forth the methodology used to calculate proposal fees. If the cost of reviewing a proposal exceeds the initially established proposal fee, the MRSWA may assess the proposer the additional costs deemed necessary to evaluate the proposal.
- iv. The MRSWA will refund any portion of fees paid in excess of its direct costs associated with evaluating the proposal.

d. Freedom of Information Act

- i. General applicability of disclosure provisions.
 1. Proposal documents submitted by private entities are generally subject to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act ("FOIA") except that subdivision 1 (1) of § 2.2-3705.6 exempts certain documents from public disclosure. FOIA exemptions, however, are discretionary, and the MRSWA may elect to release some or all of documents except to the extent the documents are:
 - a. Trade secrets of the private entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (§ 59.1-336 et seq.);
 - b. Financial records of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise, including but not limited to, balance sheets and financial statements; or

- c. Other information submitted by a private entity, where if the record or document were made public prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement the financial interest or bargaining position of the public or private entity would be adversely affected.
2. Additionally, to the extent access to proposal documents submitted by private entities are compelled or protected from disclosure by a court order, the MRSWA must comply with the provisions of such order.
3. The MRSWA may contact the Freedom of Information Act Council (FOIAC) regarding the applicability of the access provisions of FOIA:

General Assembly Building, 2nd Floor Telephone: 804/225-3056
910 Capitol Street Richmond, VA 23219 Toll-Free: 1-866-448-4100
E-mail: foiacouncil@leg.state.va.us Fax: 804/371-8705

- ii. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents submitted by a private entity.
 1. Before a document of a private entity may be withheld from disclosure, the private entity must make a written request to the MRSWA at the time the documents are submitted designating with specificity the documents for which the protection is being sought and a clear statement of the reasons for invoking the protection with reference to one or more of three classes of records listed in Section II.D.i.1.
 2. Upon the receipt of a written request for protection of documents, the MRSWA shall determine whether the documents contain (i) trade secrets, (ii) financial records, or (iii) other information that would adversely affect the financial interest or bargaining position of the MRSWA or private entity in accordance with Section II.D. The MRSWA shall make a written determination of the nature and scope of the protection to be afforded by the MRSWA under this subdivision. If the written determination provides less protection than requested by the private entity, the private entity should be accorded an opportunity to withdraw its proposal. Nothing shall prohibit further negotiations of the documents to be accorded protection from release although what may be protected must be limited to the categories of records identified in Section II.D.i.1.
 3. Once a written determination has been made by the MRSWA, the documents afforded protection under this subdivision shall continue to be protected from disclosure when in the possession of the MRSWA or any affected jurisdiction to which such documents are provided.
 4. If a private entity fails to designate trade secrets, financial records, or other confidential or proprietary information for protection from

disclosure, such information, records or documents shall be subject to disclosure under FOIA.

iii. Protection from mandatory disclosure for certain documents produced by the MRSWA.

1. The MRSWA may withhold from disclosure memoranda, staff evaluations, or other records prepared by the MRSWA, its staff, outside advisors, or consultants exclusively for the evaluation and negotiation of proposals where (i) if such records were made public prior to or after the execution of an interim or a comprehensive agreement, the financial interest or bargaining position of the MRSWA would be adversely affected, and (ii) the basis for the determination required in clause (i) is documented in writing by the MRSWA.
2. Cost estimates relating to a proposed procurement transaction prepared by or for the MRSWA shall not be open to public inspection.

iv. The MRSWA may not withhold from public access:

1. procurement records other than those subject to the written determination of the MRSWA;
2. information concerning the terms and conditions of any interim or comprehensive agreement, service contract, lease, partnership, or any agreement of any kind entered into by the MRSWA and the private entity;
3. information concerning the terms and conditions of any financing arrangement that involves the use of any public funds; or
4. information concerning the performance of any private entity developing or operating a qualifying transportation facility or a qualifying project.

However, to the extent that access to any procurement record or other document or information is compelled or protected by a court order, then the MRSWA must comply with such order.

e. Use of Public Funds

- i. Virginia constitutional and statutory requirements as they apply to appropriation and expenditure of public funds apply to any interim or comprehensive agreement entered into under the PPEA. Accordingly, the processes and procedural requirements associated with the expenditure or obligation of public funds shall be incorporated into planning for any PPEA project or projects.

f. Applicability of Other Laws

- i. Nothing in the PPEA shall affect the duty of the MRSWA to comply with all other applicable law not in conflict with the PPEA. The applicability of the Virginia Public Procurement Act (the “VPPA”) is as set forth in the PPEA.

III. Solicited Proposals

- i. The MRSWA may issue Requests for Proposals (RFPs) or Invitations for Bids (IFBs), inviting proposals from private entities to develop or operate qualifying projects. The MRSWA may use a two-part proposal process consisting of an initial conceptual phase and a detailed phase. An RFP may invite proposers to submit proposals on individual projects identified by the MRSWA. In such a case the MRSWA should set forth in the RFP the format and supporting information that is required to be submitted, consistent with the provisions of the PPEA. The MRSWA may establish suggested timelines for selecting proposals for the review and selection of solicited proposals.
- ii. The RFP should specify, but not necessarily be limited to, information and documents that must accompany each proposal and the factors that will be used in evaluating the submitted proposals. The RFP should be posted in such public areas as are normally used for posting of the MRSWA’s notices, including the MRSWA’s website. Notices should also be published in a newspaper or other publications of general circulation and advertised in Virginia Business Opportunities and posted on the Commonwealth’s electronic procurement site.
- iii. The RFP should also contain or incorporate by reference other applicable terms and conditions, including any unique capabilities or qualifications that will be required of the private entities submitting proposals. Pre-proposal conferences may be held as deemed appropriate by the MRSWA.

IV. Unsolicited Proposals

a. Generally

- i. The PPEA permits public entities to receive, evaluate and select for negotiations unsolicited proposals from private entities to develop or operate a qualifying project.
- ii. The MRSWA may publicize its needs and may encourage interested parties to submit unsolicited proposals subject to the terms and conditions of the PPEA. When such proposals are received without issuance of an RFP, the proposal shall be treated as an unsolicited proposal. The MRSWA may establish suggested timelines for selecting proposals for the review and selection of unsolicited proposals.

b. Decision to Accept and Consider Unsolicited Proposal; Notice

- i. The MRSWA reserves the right to reject any and all proposals at any time prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement for any reason whatsoever.
- ii. Upon receipt of any unsolicited proposal or group of proposals and payment of any required fee by the proposer or proposers, the MRSWA should determine whether to accept the unsolicited proposal for the purpose of publication and conceptual-phase consideration. If the MRSWA determines not to accept the proposal and proceed to publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it should return the proposal, together with all fees and accompanying documentation, to the proposer.
- iii. If the MRSWA chooses to accept an unsolicited proposal for publication and conceptual-phase consideration, it shall post a notice in a public area regularly used by the MRSWA for posting of public notices for a period of not less than 45 days. The MRSWA shall also publish the same notice for a period of not less than 45 days in one or more newspapers or periodicals of general circulation in the jurisdiction to notify any parties that may be interested in submitting competing unsolicited proposals. In addition, the notice should be advertised in Virginia Business Opportunities and on the Commonwealth's electronic procurement website. The notice shall state that the MRSWA (i) has received an unsolicited proposal under the PPEA, (ii) intends to evaluate the proposal, (iii) may negotiate an interim or comprehensive agreement with the proposer based on the proposal, and (iv) will receive for simultaneous consideration any competing proposals that comply with the procedures adopted by the MRSWA and the PPEA. The notice also shall summarize the proposed qualifying project or projects, and identify their proposed locations.
- iv. To ensure that sufficient information is available upon which to base the development of a serious competing proposal, representatives of the MRSWA familiar with the unsolicited proposal and the guidelines established by the MRSWA shall be made available to respond to inquiries and meet with private entities that are considering the submission of a competing proposal. The MRSWA shall conduct an analysis of the information pertaining to the proposal included in the notice to ensure that such information sufficiently encourages competing proposals. Further, the MRSWA shall establish criteria, including key decision points and approvals to ensure proper consideration of the extent of competition from available private entities prior to selection.

c. Posting and Public Hearing Requirements

- i. Conceptual proposals, whether solicited or unsolicited, shall be posted by the MRSWA within 10 working days after acceptance of such proposals in the following manner:

1. Posting shall be on the MRSWA's website or by publication, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area in which the contract is to be performed, of a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection.
 2. Posting may also be on the Department of General Service's web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in the discretion of the Executive Director of the MRSWA.
- ii. Nothing shall be construed to prohibit the posting of the conceptual proposals by additional means deemed appropriate by the MRSWA so as to provide maximum notice to the public of the opportunity to inspect the proposals.
 - iii. In addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of § 2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the MRSWA and the private entity. Any inspection of procurement transaction records shall be subject to reasonable restrictions to ensure the security and integrity of the records.
 - iv. The Responsible Public Entity shall hold a public hearing on the proposals during the proposal review process, but not later than 30 days prior to entering into an interim or comprehensive agreement.
- d. Initial Review by the Responsible Public Entity at the Conceptual Stage
- i. Only proposals complying with the requirements of the PPEA that contain sufficient information for a meaningful evaluation and that are provided in an appropriate format should be considered by the MRSWA for further review at the conceptual stage. Formatting suggestions for proposals at the conceptual stage are found in Section V.A.
 - ii. The MRSWA should determine at this initial stage of review whether it will proceed using:
 1. Standard procurement procedures consistent with the VPPA; or
 2. Guidelines developed by the MRSWA that are consistent with procurement of other than professional services through "competitive negotiation" as the term is defined in § 2.2-4301 of the Code of Virginia. The MRSWA may proceed using such guidelines only if it makes a written determination that doing so is likely to be advantageous to the MRSWA and the public based upon either (i) the probable scope, complexity or priority of need; (ii) the risk sharing including guaranteed cost or completion guarantees, added value or debt, or equity investments proposed by the private entity; or (iii) the increase in funding, dedicated revenue or other economic benefit that would otherwise not be available.

iii. After reviewing the original proposal and any competing proposals submitted during the notice period, the MRSWA may determine:

1. not to proceed further with any proposal;
2. to proceed to the detailed phase of review with the original proposal;
3. to proceed to the detailed phase with a competing proposal;
4. to proceed to the detailed phase with multiple proposals; or
5. to request modifications or amendments to any proposals.

In the event that more than one proposal will be considered in the detailed phase of review, the MRSWA should consider whether the unsuccessful proposer should be reimbursed for costs incurred in the detailed phase of review, and such reasonable costs may be assessed to the successful proposer in the comprehensive agreement.

iv. Discussions between the MRSWA and private entities about the need for infrastructure improvements shall not limit the ability of the MRSWA to later determine to use standard procurement procedures to meet its infrastructure needs.

V. Proposal Preparation and Submission

a. Format for Submissions at Conceptual Stage

The MRSWA will require that proposals at the conceptual stage contain information in the following areas: (i) qualifications and experience, (ii) project characteristics, (iii) project financing, (iv) anticipated public support or opposition, or both, (v) project benefit and compatibility and (vi) any additional information as the MRSWA may reasonably request to comply with the requirements of the PPEA. Suggestions for formatting information to be included in proposals at this stage include the items listed below, as well as any additional information or documents that MRSWA may request:

i. Qualification and Experience

1. Identify the legal structure of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal. Identify the organizational structure for the project, the management approach and how each partner and major subcontractor in the structure fits into the overall team.
2. Describe the experience of the firm or consortium of firms making the proposal and the key principals involved in the proposed project including experience with projects of comparable size and complexity. Describe the length of time in business, business experience, public sector experience and other engagements of the firm or consortium of firms. Include the identity of any firms that will provide design,

construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a description of such guarantees and warranties.

3. Provide the names, addresses, and telephone numbers of persons within the firm or consortium of firms who may be contacted for further information.
4. Provide a current or most recently audited financial statement of the firm or firms and each partner with an equity interest of twenty percent or greater.
5. Identify any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 3 1 of Title 2.2 (Va. Code § 2.2-3 1 00 et seq.).
6. For each firm or major subcontractor that will perform construction and/or design activities, provide the following information:
 - a. A sworn certification by an authorized representative of the firm attesting to the fact that the firm is not currently debarred or suspended by any federal, state or local government entity.
 - b. A statement that reviews all relevant information regarding technical qualifications and capabilities, firm resources and business integrity of the firm, including but not limited to bonding capacities, insurance coverage and firm equipment. This statement shall also include a disclosure for the past three years of any of the following conduct by the firm or its principal shareholders:
 - i. bankruptcy filings;
 - ii. liquidated damages;
 - iii. fines, assessments or penalties;
 - iv. judgments or awards in contract disputes
 - v. contract defaults or terminations
 - vi. license revocations, suspension, disciplinary actions;
 - vii. prior debarments or suspensions by a governmental entity;
 - viii. denials of prequalification, findings of non-responsibility;
 - ix. safety past performance data including fatality; incidents, “experience Modification Rating,” “Total Recordable Injury Rate,” and “Total Lost Workday Incident Rate.;
 - x. violations of any federal, state, or local criminal or civil law;
 - xi. criminal indictments or investigations; and
 - xii. legal claims filed by or against the firm.

ii. Project Characteristics

1. Provide a description of the project, including the conceptual design. Describe the proposed project in sufficient detail so that type and intent of the project, the location, and the communities that may be affected are clearly identified.
2. Identify and fully describe any work to be performed by the MRSWA.
3. Include a list of all federal, state, and local permits and approvals required for the project and a schedule for obtaining such permits and approvals.
4. Identify any anticipated adverse social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project. Specify the strategies or actions to mitigate known impacts of the project. Consider any applicable comprehensive land use plan of any affected jurisdictions.
5. Identify the projected positive social, economic, and environmental impacts of the project.
6. Identify the proposed schedule for the work on the project, including the estimated time for completion.
7. Propose allocation of risk and liability for work completed beyond the agreement's completion date, and assurances for timely completion of the project.
8. State assumptions related to ownership, legal liability, law enforcement, and operation of the project and the existence of any restrictions on the MRSWA's use of the project.
9. Provide information relative to phased or partial openings of the proposed project prior to completion of the entire work.
10. List any other assumptions relied on for the project to be successful.
11. List any contingencies that must occur for the project to be successful.
12. Describe any architectural, building, engineering or other applicable standards that the proposed project will meet.

iii. Project Financing

1. Provide a preliminary estimate and estimating methodology of the cost of the work by phase, segment, or both.

2. Submit a plan for the development, financing, and operation of the project showing the anticipated schedule on which funds will be required. Describe the anticipated costs of and proposed sources and uses for such funds including any anticipated debt service costs. The operational plan should include appropriate staffing levels and associated costs. Include supporting due diligence studies, analyses, or reports.
3. Include a list and discussion of assumptions underlying all major elements of the plan. Assumptions should include all significant fees associated with financing given the recommended financing approach. In addition complete disclosure of interest rate assumptions should be included. Any ongoing operational fees, if applicable, should also be disclosed as well as any assumptions with regard to increases in such fees.
4. Identify the proposed risk factors and methods for dealing with these factors.
5. Identify any local, state, or federal resources that the proposer contemplates requesting for the project. Describe the total commitment, if any, expected from governmental sources and the timing of any anticipated commitment. Such disclosure should include any direct or indirect guarantees or pledges of the MRSWA's credit or revenue.
6. Identify the amounts and the terms and conditions for any revenue sources.
7. Identify any aspect of the project that could disqualify the project from obtaining tax-exempt financing.
8. Identify the need, if any, for the Board to provide either its revenue obligation or moral obligation backing. The underlying assumptions should address this need and/or state that the credit would be via a "Service Agreement," for example. Any debt issuance should be expected to receive an investment grade rating from a nationally recognized statistical rating agency. If the natural rating is not investment grade, the Board may require the use of credit enhancements.
9. Outline what impact, if any, a drop in interest rates would have on the ultimate annual project cost. Indicate if there is a method to refinance for cost savings or does the firm only receive benefit of this potential?
10. Outline the financial penalties, if any, that would result should the Board wish to terminate a project early or restructure the cash flows for some reason of its own choosing. The firm should be specific on this point.

11. Provide a breakout of the fees to any underwriting firm(s) and the type of obligation the firm(s) are using with a financing component. Be specific as to tax-exempt, taxable, floating rate, fixed rate, etc.

iv. Project Benefit and Compatibility

1. Identify who will benefit from the project, how they will benefit, and how the project will benefit the overall community, region, or state.
2. Identify any anticipated public support or opposition, as well as any anticipated government support or opposition, for the project.
3. Explain the strategy and plans that will be carried out to involve and inform the general public, business community, and governmental agencies in areas affected by the project.
4. Describe the anticipated significant benefits to the community, region or state, including anticipated benefits to the economic condition of the MRSWA and whether the project is critical to attracting or maintaining competitive industries and businesses to the MRSWA or the surrounding region.
5. Describe compatibility with the local comprehensive plan, local infrastructure development plans, the capital improvements budget, or other government spending plan.
6. Provide a statement setting forth participation efforts that are intended to be undertaken in connection with this project with regard to the following types of businesses: (i) minority-owned businesses, (ii) woman-owned businesses, and (iii) small businesses.

b. Format for Submissions at Detailed Stage

- i. If the MRSWA decides to proceed to the detailed phase of review with one or more proposals, the following information should be provided by the private entity unless waived by the MRSWA:
 1. A topographical map (1:2,000 or other appropriate scale) depicting the location of the proposed project;
 2. A list of public utility facilities, if any, that will be crossed by the qualifying project and a statement of the plans of the proposer to accommodate such crossings;
 3. A statement and strategy setting out the plans for securing all necessary property and easements; The statement must include the names and addresses, if known, of the current owners of the subject property, as well

as a list of any property the proposer intends to request the Board or affected jurisdiction to condemn.

4. A detailed listing of all firms, along with their relevant experience and abilities, that will provide specific design, construction and completion guarantees and warranties, and a brief description of such guarantees and warranties along with a record of any prior defaults for performance.;
5. A total life-cycle cost specifying methodology and assumptions of the project or projects and the proposed project start date. Include anticipated commitment of all parties; equity, debt, and other financing mechanisms; and a schedule of project revenues and project costs. The life-cycle cost analysis should include, but not be limited to, a detailed analysis of the projected return, rate of return, or both, expected useful life of facility, and estimated annual operating expenses;
6. A detailed discussion of assumptions about user fees or rates, and usage of the projector projects;
7. Identification of any known government support or opposition, or general public support or opposition for the project. Government or public support should be demonstrated through resolution of official bodies, minutes of meetings, letters, or other official communications;
8. Demonstration of consistency with appropriate local comprehensive or infrastructure development plans or indication of the steps required for acceptance into such plans;
9. Explanation of how the proposed project would impact development plans of any affected jurisdiction;
10. Identification of the executive management and the officers and director of the firm or firms submitting the proposal. In addition, identification of any known conflicts of interest or other disabilities that may impact the MRSWA's consideration of the proposal, including the identification of any persons known to the proposer who would be obligated to disqualify themselves from participation in any transaction arising from or in connection to the project pursuant to the Virginia State and Local Government Conflict of Interest Act, Chapter 31 of Title 2.2 of the Code of Virginia (Va. Code § 2.2-3100 et seq.).
11. Additional material and information as the MRSWA may reasonably request;
12. Information relating to the current plans for development of facilities to be used by a public entity that are similar to the qualifying project being proposed by the private entity, if any, of each affected jurisdiction.

VI. Proposal Evaluation and Selection Criteria

There are several factors that the MRSWA may wish to consider when evaluating and selecting a proposal under the PPEA. The following are some of the factors that may be considered by the MRSWA in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals.

a. Qualifications and Experience

Factors to be considered in either phase of the MRSWA's review to determine whether the proposer possesses the requisite qualifications and experience include:

1. Experience with similar projects;
2. Demonstration of ability to perform work;
3. Leadership structure;
4. Project manager's experience;
5. Management approach;
6. Financial condition; and
7. Project ownership.
8. Demonstrated record of successful past performance, including timelines of project delivery, compliance with plans and specifications, quality of workmanship, cost-control and project safety.
9. Demonstrated conformance with applicable laws, codes, standards, regulations, policies, and agreements on past projects.
10. Project staffing plans, the skill level or the proposed workforce, apprenticeship and other training programs offered for the project, and the proposed safety plans for the project.

b. Project Characteristics

Factors to be considered in determining the project characteristics include:

1. Project definition;
2. Proposed project schedule;
3. Operation of the project;
4. Technology; technical feasibility;
5. Conformity to laws, regulations, and standards;
6. Environmental impacts;
7. Condemnation impacts;
8. State and local permits; and
9. Maintenance of the project.

c. Cost Analysis and Project Financing

Factors to be considered in determining whether the proposed project financing allows adequate access to the necessary capital to finance the project include:

1. Cost and cost benefit to the MRSWA;
2. Financing and the impact on the debt burden of the MRSWA;

3. Financial plan, including the degree to which the proposer has conducted due diligence investigation and analysis of the proposed financial plan and the results of any such inquiries or studies;
4. Opportunity costs assessment;
5. Estimated cost;
6. Life-cycle cost analysis;
7. The identity, credit history, past performance of any third party that will provide financing for the project (if applicable) and the nature and timing of their commitment, as applicable; and
8. Such other items as the MRSWA deems appropriate.

In the event that any project is financed through the issuance of obligations that are deemed to be revenue-supported debt of the MRSWA, or if financing such a project may impact the MRSWA's debt rating or financial position, the MRSWA may select its own finance team, source, and financing vehicle.

d. Project Benefit and Compatibility

Factors to be considered in determining the proposed project's compatibility with the appropriate local or regional comprehensive or development plans include:

1. Community benefits;
2. Community support or opposition, or both;
3. Public involvement strategy;
4. Compatibility with existing and planned facilities; and
5. Compatibility with local, regional, and state economic development efforts.

e. Other Factors

Other factors that may be considered by the MRSWA in the evaluation and selection of PPEA proposals include:

1. The proposed cost of the qualifying project;
2. The general reputation, industry experience, and financial capacity of the private entity;
3. The proposed design of the qualifying project;
4. The eligibility of the project for accelerated documentation, review, and selection;
5. Local citizen and government comments;
6. Benefits to the public, including financial and non-financial;
7. The private entity's compliance with a minority business enterprise participation plan or good faith effort to comply with the goals of such plan;
8. The private entity's plans to employ local contractors and residents;
9. Other criteria that the MRSWA deems appropriate.

VII. Interim and Comprehensive Agreements

Prior to developing or operating the qualifying project, the selected private entity shall enter into a comprehensive agreement with the MRSWA. Prior to entering a comprehensive agreement, an interim agreement may be entered into that permits a private entity to perform compensable activities related to the project. The MRSWA may designate a working group to be responsible for negotiating any interim or comprehensive agreement. Any interim or comprehensive agreement shall define the rights and obligations of the MRSWA and the selected proposer with regard to the project.

a. Interim Agreement Terms

The scope of an interim agreement may include but is not limited to:

- i. Project planning and development;
- ii. Design and engineering;
- iii. Environmental analysis and mitigation;
- iv. Survey;
- v. Ascertaining the availability of financing for the proposed facility through financial and revenue analysis;
- vi. Establishing a process and timing of the negotiation of the comprehensive agreement; and
- vii. Any other provisions related to any aspect of the development or operation of a qualifying project that the parties may deem appropriate prior to the execution of a comprehensive agreement.

b. Comprehensive Agreement Terms

The scope of the comprehensive agreement shall include but not be limited to:

- i. The delivery of maintenance, performance and payment bonds or letters of credit in connection with any acquisition, design, construction, improvement, renovation, expansion, equipping, maintenance, or operation of the qualifying project if required by law or required by MRSWA;
- ii. The review of plans and specifications for the qualifying project by the MRSWA;
- iii. The rights of the MRSWA to inspect the qualifying project to ensure compliance with the comprehensive agreement;
- iv. The maintenance of a policy or policies of liability insurance or self-insurance reasonably sufficient to insure coverage of the project and the tort liability to the

public and employees and to enable the continued operation of the qualifying project;

- v. The monitoring of the practices of the private entity by the MRSWA to ensure proper maintenance, safety use and management;
- vi. The terms under which the private entity will reimburse the MRSWA for services provided;
- vii. The policy and procedures that will govern the rights and responsibilities of the MRSWA and the private entity in the event that the comprehensive agreement is terminated or there is a material default by the private entity including the conditions governing assumption of the duties and responsibilities of the private entity by the MRSWA and the transfer or purchase of property or other interests of the private entity by the MRSWA;
- viii. The terms under which the private entity will file appropriate financial statements on a periodic basis;
- ix. The mechanism by which user fees, lease payments, or service payments, if any, may be established from time to time upon agreement of the parties. Any payments or fees shall be set at a level that is the same for persons using the facility under like conditions and that will not materially discourage use for the qualifying project;
 - 1. A copy of any service contract shall be filed with the MRSWA.
 - 2. A schedule of the current user fees or lease payments shall be made available by the private entity to any member of the public upon request.
 - 3. Classifications according to reasonable categories for assessment of user fees may be made.
 - 4. The terms and conditions under which the MRSWA may contribute financial resources, if any, for the qualifying project;
- x. The terms and conditions under which existing site conditions will be assessed and addressed, including identification of the responsible party for conducting the assessment and taking necessary remedial action;
- xi. The terms and conditions under which the MRSWA will be required to pay money to the private entity and the amount of any such payments for the project;
- xii. Other requirements of the PPEA or other applicable law;
- xiii. Safety, use and management of the qualifying project; and
- xiv. Such other terms and conditions as the MRSWA may deem appropriate.

Any changes in the terms of the interim or comprehensive agreement as may be agreed upon by the parties from time to time shall be added to the interim or comprehensive agreement by written amendment.

The comprehensive agreement may provide for the development or operation of phases or segments of a qualifying project.

c. Notice and Posting requirements

- i. In addition to the posting requirements of Section IV.C., 30 days prior to entering into an interim or comprehensive agreement, the MRSWA shall provide an opportunity for public comment on the proposals. If not already held at the conceptual proposal stage, such public comment period shall include a public hearing. After the end of the public comment period, no additional posting shall be required based on any public comment received.
- ii. Once the negotiation phase for the development of an interim or a comprehensive agreement is complete and a decision to award has been made by the MRSWA, the MRSWA shall post the proposed agreement in the following manner:
 1. Posting shall be on the MRSWA's website or by publication, in a newspaper of general circulation in the area in which the contract work is to be performed, of a summary of the proposals and the location where copies of the proposals are available for public inspection. Posting may also be on the Department of General Service's web-based electronic procurement program commonly known as "eVA," in the discretion of the Executive Director of the MRSWA.
 2. In addition to the posting requirements, at least one copy of the proposals shall be made available for public inspection. Trade secrets, financial records, or other records of the private entity excluded from disclosure under the provisions of subdivision 11 of Virginia Code § 2.2-3705.6 shall not be required to be posted, except as otherwise agreed to by the MRSWA and the private entity.
 3. Any studies and analyses considered by the MRSWA in its review of a proposal shall be disclosed to the appropriating body at some point prior to the execution of an interim or comprehensive agreement.
- iii. Once an interim agreement or a comprehensive agreement has been entered into, the MRSWA shall make procurement records available for public inspection, upon request.
 1. Such procurement records shall include documents protected from disclosure during the negotiation phase on the basis that the release of such documents would have adverse effect on the financial interest or

bargaining position of the MRSWA or private entity in accordance with Section II.D.ii.

2. Such procurement records shall not include (i) trade secrets of the private entity as defined in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act (~ 59. 1-336 et seq.) or (ii) financial records, including balance sheets or financial statements of the private entity that are not generally available to the public through regulatory disclosure or otherwise.

- iv. To the extent access to procurement records are compelled or protected by a court order, then the MRSWA must comply with such order.

VIII. Governing Provisions

In the event of any conflict between these guidelines and the PPEA, the terms of the PPEA shall control.

IX. Terms and Definitions

“Affected jurisdiction” means any county, city, or town in which all or a portion of a qualifying project is located.

“Appropriating body” means the body responsible for appropriating or authorizing funding to pay for a qualifying project.

“Comprehensive agreement” means the comprehensive agreement between the private entity and the responsible public entity that is required prior to the development or operation of a qualifying project.

“Conceptual stage” means the initial phase of project evaluation when the public entity makes a determination whether the proposed project serves a public purpose, meets the criteria for a qualifying project, assesses the qualifications and experience of a private entity proposer, reviews the project for financial feasibility, and warrants further pursuit.

“Cost-benefit analysis” means an analysis that weighs expected costs against expected benefits in order to choose the best option. For example, a city manager may compare the costs and benefits of constructing a new office building to those of renovating and maintaining an existing structure in order to select the most financially advantageous option.

“Detailed stage” means the second phase of project evaluation where the public entity has completed the conceptual stage and accepted the proposal and may request additional information regarding a proposed project prior to entering into competitive negotiations with one or more private entities to develop an interim or comprehensive agreement.

“Develop” or “development” means to plan, design, develop, finance, lease, acquire, install, construct, or expand.

“Interim agreement” means an agreement between a private entity and a responsible public entity that provides for phasing of the development or operation, or both, of a qualifying project. Such

phases may include, but are not limited to, design, planning, engineering, environmental analysis and mitigation, financial and revenue analysis, or any other phase of the project that constitutes activity on any part of the qualifying project.

“Lease payment” means any form of payment, including a land lease, by a public entity to the private entity for the use of a qualifying project.

“Lifecycle cost analysis” means an analysis that calculates cost of an asset over its entire life span and includes the cost of planning, constructing, operating, maintaining, replacing, and when applicable, salvaging the asset. Although one proposal may have a lower initial construction cost, it may not have the lowest lifecycle cost once maintenance, replacement, and salvage value is considered.

“Material default” means any default by the private entity in the performance of its duties that jeopardizes adequate service to the public from a qualifying project.

“Operate” means to finance, maintain, improve, equip, modify, repair, or operate.

“Opportunity cost” means the cost of passing up another choice when making a decision or the increase in costs due to delays in making a decision.

“Private entity” means any natural person, corporation, general partnership, limited liability company, limited partnership, joint venture, business trust, public benefit corporation, nonprofit entity, or other business entity.

“Public entity” means the Commonwealth and any agency or authority thereof, any county, city or town and any other political subdivision of the Commonwealth, any public body politic and corporate or any regional entity that serves a public purpose.

“Qualifying project” means:

The PPEA contains a broad definition of qualifying project that includes public buildings and facilities of all types; for example:

- a. An education facility, including but not limited to a school building (including any stadium or other facility primarily used for school events), any functionally related and subordinate facility and land to a school building and any depreciable property provided for use in a school facility that is operated as part of the public school system or as an institution of higher education;
- b. A building or facility that meets a public purpose and is developed or operated by or for any public entity;
- c. Improvements, together with equipment, necessary to enhance public safety and security of buildings to be principally used by a public entity;
- d. Utility and telecommunications and other communications infrastructure;
- e. A recreational facility;

- f. Technology infrastructure and services, including but not limited to telecommunications, automated data processing, word processing and management information systems, and related information, equipment, goods and services;
- g. Technology, equipment, or infrastructure designed to deploy wireless broadband services to schools, businesses, or residential areas, or
- h. Any improvements necessary or desirable to any unimproved locally- or state-owned real estate.

“Responsible public entity” means a public entity that has the power to develop or operate the applicable qualifying project.

“Revenues” means all revenues, income, earnings, user fees, lease payments, or other service payments arising out of or in connection with supporting the development or operation of a qualifying project, including without limitation, money received as grants or otherwise from the United States of America, from any public entity, or from any agency or instrumentality of the foregoing in aid of such facility.

“Service contract” means a contract entered into between a public entity and the private entity pursuant to § 56-575.5.

“Service payments” means payments to the private entity of a qualifying project pursuant to a service contract.

“State” means the Commonwealth of Virginia.

“User fees” mean the rates, fees, or other charges imposed by the private entity of a qualifying project for use of all or a portion of such qualifying project pursuant to the comprehensive agreement pursuant to § 56-5 75.9.